

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO.

09/451,666

11/30/99

ITO

Т

07898-051001

**EXAMINER** 

HM12/0503

JOSEPH R BAKER ESQ FISH & RICHARDSON P C 4225 EXECUTIVE SQUARE SUITE 1400 LA JOLLA CA 92037

FORMAN.B **ART UNIT** 

PAPER NUMBER

1655

DATE MAILED:

05/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/451,666	ITO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1655
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EVDIPE 3	MONTH(S) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	•	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute.</li> </ul>	ays, a reply within the statutory ory period will apply and will ex	minimum of thirty (30) days will  bire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will	, by statute, cause the applicati	on to become ABANDONED (33 3.3.3.3 135).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	This action is non-final	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims 1-12 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved	b)[_] disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.5.440(a) (d)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	5.C. § TT9(a)-(u).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CEF	RTIFIED copies of the price	ority documents have been:
1. received.	Code / Serial Number)	
2. received in Application No. (Series of the series of th	cation from the Internation	 nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
3. received in this National Stage appli	list of the certified conies	s not received.
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies	U.O.O. 8. 440(o)
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for d	Iomestic priority under 35	U.S.C. & 119(e).
Attachment(s)	. m. □ 1-	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
<ul> <li>14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice</li> </ul>	18) 18) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:

Application/Control Number: 09/451,666

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a biochip, classified in class 435, subclass 287.2.
  - II. Claims 4-8, drawn to a method for making a biochip, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
  - III. Claims 9-12, drawn to a pin, classified in class 422 subclass 100.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of making product. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process e.g. the biochip of Group I can be made by directly synthesizing the probes on the plate using combinatorial chemistry.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus e.g. ink jet printer or by hand.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case

Application/Control Number: 09/451,666

Art Unit: 1655

the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation and different functions i.e. the biochip of Group I operates as a solid support upon which probes are bound and functions to maintain the bound probes and the pin of Group III operates as a transfer means and functions to deliver reagents from one location to another.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was to Joseph Baker at the firm of Fish & Richardson, PC on 28 April 200 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but because Mr. Baker is no longer the agent of record, it was requested that the restriction requirement be mailed. did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BJ Forman whose telephone number is (703) 306-5878. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:45 TO 4:15.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/451,666

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-8742 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BJ Forman, Ph.D. May 1, 2000

